



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*64*  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,472	12/03/2003	Mark Zoller	T1530-00015	5618
21967 7590 01/24/2007 HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			EXAMINER LANDSMAN, ROBERT S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/24/2007	PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/725,472

Applicant(s)

ZOLLER ET AL.

Examiner

Robert Landsman

Art Unit

1647

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 194-206 and 209-264 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 194-206 and 209-264 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *1. Formal Matters*

- A. The Amendment filed 12/19/06 has been entered into the record.
- B. Claims 194-235 were pending. Claims 207 and 208 have been canceled and new claims 236-254 have been added. Therefore, claims 194-206 and 209-264 are pending and are the subject of this Office Action.
- C. All Statutes under 35 USC not found in this Office Action can be found, cited in full, in a previous Office Action.

### *2. Oath*

- A. The objection to the Oath has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments.

### *3. Specification*

- A. All objections to the specification have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments except for the following – Though Applicants have pointed out that Figure 3C does appear in the Brief Description, the beginning of the Description must refer to Figure 3C (e.g. "Figures 3A-3C contain...")
- B. Figure 1 is objected to since it recites "rate" instead of "rat."
- C. Figure 1 is further objected to since the names of all the T1Rs are not legible. This is especially important since various claims refer to this Figure.

### *4. Election by Original Presentation*

- A. Newly submitted claims 237 and 239-250 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the new claims are drawn to cells (class 435, subclasses 69.1 and 325) whereas the original claims are drawn to methods (435/7.1).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. However, claim 237 and 239-250 **WILL NOT BE WITHDRAWN FROM CONSIDERATION** as being directed to a non-elected invention since it is believed these claims were written incorrectly and

Art Unit: 1647

should likely be drawn to “A method of producing...wherein the receptor is expressed in a cell...” It is noted that reciting “the cell” will have no antecedent basis.

The Examiner will wait for Applicants’ response before restricting these claims. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

### ***5. Claim Objections***

A. The objection to claims 199-204 and 210-215 “exhibits” and “possesses” has been withdrawn in view of Applicants’ arguments that these terms are clear.

B. The objection to claims 210-215 has been withdrawn in view of Applicants’ amendment to the term “Sequence.”

### ***6. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph – scope of enablement***

A. Claims 194-206 and 209-235 remain rejected and new claims 236-264 are also rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for the reasons already of record on pages 3-4 of the Office Action mailed 9/21/06. Applicants have amended the claims to further limit the claimed genus. However, the genus of T1R3 receptors is still excessive with regard to claims 194, 207 and 217. These claims encompass the genus of receptors which hybridize under specific conditions to known receptors. Applicants recite in their claims that T1R2 and T1R3 receptors from 3 different species have been disclosed. However, due to the poor reproduction quality of Figure 1, which discloses the supposed receptors, the Examiner cannot identify three T1R2 and T1R3 receptors. Therefore, it appears Applicants have only provided guidance and working examples of one **T1R2 (human)** and two **T1R3 (rat and human)** receptors and nucleic acids. Therefore, undue experimentation would be required for the artisan to make and use the invention as claimed.

In addition, claim 237 recites that the receptors are “**derived from**” the same species. Again, the breadth of the claims is excessive with regard to claiming all T1R2/T1R3 receptors which are “derived from” a particular animal species. Applicants have only identified one T1R2 sequence and two T1R3 sequences. Derivatives would have one or more amino acid substitutions, deletions, insertions and/or additions to the claimed proteins.

Art Unit: 1647

The Examiner may withdraw this rejection with regard to the genus of T1R3 receptors if Applicants can demonstrate that this genus was well known at the time of the present invention (i.e. more than two known receptors).

***7. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph – written description***

A. Claims 194-206 and 209-235 remain rejected and new claims 236-264 are also rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for the reasons already of record on pages 4-5 of the Office Action mailed 9/21/06. Applicants have amended the claims to further limit the claimed genus. These claims encompass the genus of receptors which hybridize under specific conditions to known receptors. However, the genus of T1R2 and T1R3 receptors is still not described.

Applicants recite in their claims that T1R2 and T1R3 receptors from 3 different species have been disclosed. However, due to the poor reproduction quality of Figure 1, which discloses the supposed receptors, the Examiner cannot identify three T1R2 and T1R3 receptors. Therefore, it appears Applicants have only adequately described one **T1R2 (human)** and two **T1R3 (rat and human)** receptors and nucleic acids and not the genus.

In addition, claim 237 recites that the receptors are “**derived from**” the same species. Again, genus of all T1R2/T1R3 receptors which are “**derived from**” a particular animal species is not well described. Applicants have only identified one T1R2 sequence and two T1R3 sequences. Derivatives would have one or more amino acid substitutions, deletions, insertions and/or additions to the claimed proteins.

The Examiner may withdraw this rejection with regard to the genus of T1R2 and T1R3 receptors if Applicants can demonstrate that this genus was adequately described at the time of the present invention (i.e. more than two known receptors).

***8. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph – new matter***

A. Claims 194-206 and 209-264 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants have added hybridization conditions into at least claims 194, 206 and 218 and state that support for these

Art Unit: 1647

conditions can be found throughout the specification. However, upon reviewing the large specification, the Examiner was unable to find any disclosure of the exact conditions newly added to the claims.

***9. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph***

A. All rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendments to, or cancellation of, the claims.

B. Claims 237 and 239-250 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims recite "the cell" in the preamble. However, the originally elected claims are drawn to methods. It is believed that these claims should recite "the method of claim \_\_\_\_ wherein the cell..."

C. Claims 237 and 239-250 recite the limitation "the cell." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in these claims. It is believed that these claims should depend from claim 231.

***10. Double Patenting***

A. Claims 194-206 and 209-235 remain rejected and new claims 236-264 remain rejected for the reasons already of record on pages 6-7 of the Office Action 9/21/06. Applicants ask that this rejection be held in abeyance until the application is allowable.

***11. Conclusion***

A. No claim is allowable.

Art Unit: 1647

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

***Advisory information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0888. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10 AM – 7 PM (eastern).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Robert Landsman  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1647